WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Administrative Member.

Case No. OA 704 of 2015. DINABANDHU MAJEE - VS- THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
13		
29.8.2018.	For the Applicant : Mr. S. Mitra, Advocate.	
	Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. B.P. Roy,	
	Advocate.	
	In this application, the applicant has prayed for	
	a direction upon the respondents to give him the benefit	
	of regular appointment in the post of Panchayat Clerk	
	from 28 th December, 2001 when other similarly situated	
	candidates were given appointments. Submission is	
	though it is evident from the final result sheet that the	
	applicant having secured 72.33 marks stood first,	
	however, he was not given appointment. Rather the	
	candidates below him that is serial number 2 nd to 5 th	
	were appointed on 28 th December, 2001 and on 6 th	
	March, 2002.	
	It is submitted by Mrs. S. Mitra learned advocate	
	for the applicant that the District Magistrate by memo	
	dated 12 th March, 2012 had admitted that there was no	
	fault on the part of the applicant in his appointment in	
	2006. Submission is as the District Magistrate, Purulia is	
	the appointing authority, appropriate order may be	
	passed directing the Respondent authorities to treat him	

ΝΙΛΟ	AN	ППП	Ι ΜΔ	ICC
 идп	-	1 <i>1</i> 771	JIVIA	ІГГ

Form	No.
------	-----

••••

Vs.

OA 704 of 2015.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	as being appointed as Panchayat Clerk from 28 th	
	December, 2001.	
	Mr. B.P. Roy, learned advocate for the State	
	Respondent submits that the applicant was appointed on	
	contractual basis from 2003 and was ultimately	
	appointed as Panchayat Clerk on 30 th May, 2006 with	
	effect from 24 th May, 2006.	
	Heard Mrs. Mitra and Mr. Roy. Since in spite	
	of direction the respondents have not filed reply and	
	therefore as the statements in the application remain	
	uncontroverted ,the statements are deemed to be	
	correct and have to be accepted.	
	Admittedly, the applicant participated in the	
	selection process for the post of Panchayat Clerk. It is	
	evident from score sheets annexurd at pages 14 to 15 of	
	the application that he stood first. Therefore, under	
	normal circumstances, he should have been appointed	
	prior to the other successful candidates. However,	
	surprisingly the candidates below him were appointed on	
	28 th December 2001 and on 6 th March, 2002. The	
	applicant was appointed in the year 2006. That there was	
	no fault on the part of the applicant is evident from the	
	memo dated 12 th March, 2012 issued by the District	

	NIAD	ARIF	วหม	$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$	166
IJ	IVAL	IVIA	JNU	IVIA	JFF

F	n	r	n	n	١	J	O.

•••

Vs.

OA 704 of 2015.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No	
---------	--

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	Magistrate, Purulia to the Commissioner, Panchayat and	
	Rural Development, West Bengal, being annexure "K" to	
	the application, where it is recorded as under :-	
	" Whereas, after three years from	
	appointment of other four empanelled candidates, Sri	
	Majee was appointed in the year 2004 on contract basis	
	instead of regular basis and was regularized in the service	
	vide No. 3193/PN/O/III/2A-5/02(I) dated 24.05.2006 of	
	Department of Panchayat Rural Development with effect	
	from 24.05.2006 though there was no fault on the part of	
	the incumbent concerned.	
	It is again pointed out that Sri Majee would	
	have been appointed on regular basis with other four	
	empanelled candidates since 2001 as he stood first in the	
	merit list. This office is in dark about the reasons behind	
	early appointment of other candidates superseding Sri	
	Majee and delayed appointment of Majee in the year	
	2006.	
	Hence, enclosing again 2 nd prayer dated	
	10.01.2012 of Sri Majee with recommendation, I would	
	like to request you either to consider the prayer of Sri	
	Majee and to take up with the Govt. so that Sri Majee	
	may get status of regular employee w.e.f. 28.12.2001, or	

DINABANDHU MAJEE

Form No.

••••

Vs.

OA 704 of 2015.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	to intimate this office on reasons behind delayed	
	appointment in respect to Sri Majee".	
	Therefore, as the administration had	
	admitted that the applicant had suffered for not being	
	appointed in the year 2001 for no fault on his part, we	
	direct the Commissioner , Panchayet and Rural	
	Development, West Bengal and the District Magistrate,	
	Purulia - respondent nos. 4 and 5 respectively , to treat	
	the petitioner to have been deemed to be appointed on	
	28 th December, 2001 and to give him the due seniority as	
	per the merit list annexed to this application. The said	
	date, that is 28 th December, 2001, shall also be taken	
	note of by the respondents for the purpose of counting	
	the period of service of the applicant and also for the	
	calculation of superannuation benefits and shall be	
	incorporated in the service records. It is however made	
	clear that no salary shall be paid to the applicant for the	
	period from 28 th December, 2001 till the date of his	
	appointment as Panchayet Clerk since he did not work.	
	The directions hereinabove shall be given effect to by the	
	Commissioner, Panchayat and Rural Development, West	
	Bengal and the District Magistrate, Purulia within ten	
	weeks from the date of presentation of a copy of this	

	NIAD	ARIF	วหม	$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$	166
IJ	IVAL	IVIA	JNU	IVIA	JFF

Fc	r	m	Λ	lo.
	,,			

Vs.

OA 704 of 2015.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No.	
case NO.	

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	order. Since evidently the District Magistrate, Purulia had	
	held that there was no fault on the part of the applicant	
	and as the Commissioner was directed by Memo dated	
	12 th March, 2012 to intimate the District Magistrate,	
	Purulia the reasons behind the delayed appointment in	
	respect of the applicant, the District Magistrate, Purulia	
	shall conduct an enquiry with the assistance of the	
	Commissioner , Panchayat and Rural Development, West	
	Bengal and shall conclude the same by filing a report	
	before the Chief Secretary, Government of West Bengal	
	within six months from the date of communication of this	
	order and shall take suitable steps against the erring	
	officials.	
	(P. Ramesh Kumar) (Soumitra Pal)	
	Member(A). Chairman.	
CI.		
Skg.		

DINABANDHU	MAJEE
D.1147 (127 (1112) 110	

Form No.		
	Vs.	
OA 704 of 2015.	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.	

Case No.

Date of order. 2 and dated sign of parties when 3	n with date